

# **Exhibit A**

## **Document 2**

**Pavlik-Keenan, Catrina M**

**From:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2010 3:02 PM  
**To:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** Re: WA SIB request for opt-out information

(b) (5)  
[Redacted]

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)  
(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**To:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thu Feb 11 11:49:35 2010  
**Subject:** FW: WA SIB request for opt-out information

Washington/Olympia splitting hairs about SC; fine with us!!! Seems that their position is that the MOA should be signed by individual agencies, rather than at state level due to their position of who actually "owns" the fingerprints at time of book-in.....traffic below.

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

---

**From:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2010 11:47 AM  
**To:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: WA SIB request for opt-out information

Here it is the response.....

---

**From:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 11, 2010 11:33 AM  
**To:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C); Greenberg, Randi L  
**Cc:** (b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: WA SIB request for opt-out information

(b)(5)  
[Redacted]

(b)(5) [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Regards,

(b)(6) & (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6); (b)(7)

(b)(6); (b)(7)

(b)(6); (b)(7)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)

(b)(6) & (B)(7)(C)  
(b)(6); (b)(7)  
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

